| | d . | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 1 | NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH | | |
| 2 | United States Attorney District of Nevada | | |
| , | Nevada Bar Number 13644 ALLISON REESE | | |
| 3 | Nevada Bar Number 13977 | | |
| 4 | Assistant United States Attorney | | |
| 5 | 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 | | |
| | Phone: (702) 388-6336 | | |
| 6 | Email: <u>allison.reese@usdoj.gov</u> Attorneys for the United States of America | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | |
| 0 | INITED CTATES OF AMEDICA | O N 2.20' 00/41 DIA | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | Case No.: 2:20-mj-00641-DJA | |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | STIPULATION TO CONTINUE | |
| 11 | vs. | THE PRELIMINARY HEARING (First Request) | |
| 12 | JUAN ERNESTO ORTIZ TORRES, | | |
| 13 | Defendant. | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND | AGREED, by and between NICHOLAS A | |
| 16 | TRUTANICH, United States Attorney, and | ALLISON REESE, Assistant United States | |
| 17 | Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and BENJAMIN DURHAM, counsel for | | |
| 18 | Defendant JUAN ERNESTO ORTIZ TORRES, that the preliminary hearing date in the above | | |
| 19 | captioned matter, currently scheduled for August 21, 2020, at 4:00 p.m., be vacated and | | |
| 20 | continued for sixty (60) days, to a date and time to be set by this Honorable Court. | | |
| 21 | This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons: | | |
| 22 | 1. The Government needs additional time to produce relevant discovery to Defense | | |
| 23 | Counsel. | | |
| 24 | /// | | |

| 1 | 2. | 2. Defense Counsel needs additional time to review the discovery, conduct additional | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | investigation, and confer with the Defendant about how he would like to proceed. | | |
| 3 | 3. | 3. The parties agree to the continuance. | |
| 4 | 4. | Defendant JUAN ERNESTO ORTIZ TORRES is out of custody and does not | |
| 5 | object to the | to the continuance. | |
| 6 | 5. | Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of | |
| 7 | justice. | | |
| 8 | 6. | The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but to | |
| 9 | allow for a potential pre-indictment resolution of the case. | | |
| 10 | 7. | The additional time requested by this stipulation, is allowed, with the defendant's | |
| 11 | consent under the Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d). | | |
| 12 | 8. | This is the <u>first</u> request for a continuation of the preliminary hearing. | |
| 13 | DATED: August 14, 2020 | | |
| 14 | | Respectfully submitted, | |
| 15 | | NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney | |
| 16 | | /s/ Allison Reese | |
| 17 | | ALLISON REESE | |
| 18 | | Assistant United States Attorney | |
| 19 | | BENJAMIN DURHAM LAW FIRM | |
| 20 | /s/ Benjamin C. Durham | | |
| 21 | Benjamin C. Durham | | |
| 22 | | Counsel for Defendant Juan Ernesto Ortiz Torres | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 2 -000-UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 2:20-mj-00641-DJA 3 Plaintiff, ORDER 4 5 VS. JUAN ERNESTO ORTIZ TORRES, 6 Defendant. 7 8 **ORDER** 9 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the 10 Court finds that: 11 1. The Government needs additional time to produce relevant discovery to Defense 12 Counsel. 13 2. Defense Counsel needs additional time to review the discovery, conduct additional investigation, and confer with the Defendant about how he would like to proceed. 14 3. 15 The parties agree to the continuance. 16 4. Defendant JUAN ERNESTO ORTIZ TORRES is out of custody and does not object to the continuance. 17 18 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of 19 justice. 20 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but to 21 allow for a potential pre-indictment resolution of the case. 22 7. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is allowed, with the defendant's 2.3 consent under the Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d).

This is the first request for a continuation of the preliminary hearing.

24

8.

For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a 1 continuance of the preliminary hearing date. 2 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** 3 The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the 4 public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in 5 a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein to potentially resolve the case prior to 6 indictment, and further would deny the parties sufficient time and the opportunity within which 7 8 to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the preliminary hearing, taking into account 9 the exercise of due diligence. 10 The continuance sought herein is allowed, with the defendant's consent, pursuant to Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d). 11 12 **ORDER** 13 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the preliminary hearing currently scheduled for 2020, at the hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and 14 August 21, continued to October 19 15 ______, 2020, at the hour of 4:00 p.m. in Courtroom 3A. 16 DATED this _____ day of August, 2020. 17 18 THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS 19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24